222.00041021.1	Law Office of Condex 9, Condex 9, Monte Letterhood
233.06041921.1	Law Offices of Gordon & Gordon & Moore letterhead
	From: J. F. Gordon
	To: Esselstyn
	It is time to reach some definite conclusion as to whether we will appeal the
	last tax judgement. We will have to notify the stenographer in order for him
	to copy the records and getting ready for next term of court. Will be glad to
	hear from you on subject and like to know name of stenographer.
222.00041021.2	
233.06041921.2	Law Offices of Gordon & Gordon & Moore letterhead
	From: J. F. Gordon
	To: Esselstyn
	Enclosing the Company's reply in the 1920 [circled in pencil "1921"] tax case.
	Before reply is filed, go over carefully with Senator Utley with particular
	reference to those parts of it which refer to the County Attorney. If these
	statements cannot be proved to be substantially true then they ought not to
	be in reply as they constitute libelous matter. If they can be proven, they will
	be all right to file as drawn. If reply meets with your approval, it may be
	signed for both of us and filed; if does not meet with approval, make
	changes and file same in Court
233.06051921.3	From: Esselstyn
	To: Hon. J. F. Gordon
	Acknowledge receipt of letter of 4 th enclosing reply to 1921 tax case. I think
	every statement is correct but before filing the reply I will have to talk with
	at least two members of the Board of Supervisors to be sure Mr. Molloy was
	author of their special certificate however the three members stated to me
	·
	in the presence of Mr. Layton, that they had no personal knowledge of the
	property. Will advise you as soon as I see Senator Utley.
233.06051921.4	From: Esselstyn
	To: E. H. Simmons
	Enclosed find copy of letter from Judge Gordon and my reply regarding
	appeal of the 1920 tax case. Last letter you wrote under date of May 20 th
	you indicated that it was not advisable to appeal this case although you
	opinion was subject to change. The other day while you were here you
	stated you thought it would be best to appeal even though we may
	withdraw the case if things turn out after election as we hope they will. If
	you still of same opinion will you please write me giving authority to appeal;
	that we may be getting the transcript of testimony as indicated in Judge
	Gordon's letter.
233.06051921.5	From: Esselstyn
	To: Hon. J. F. Gordon
	Acknowledge receipt of letter of 4 th regarding appeal of the 1920 tax case.
	Mr. Simmons has about made up his mind that this case should be appealed
	but I am sending him a copy of your letter and I think we will hear from him
	in a few days Mr. Marshall Jones, City National Banking Building, Paducah,
222.00074024.0	Ky is name and address of the stenographer.
233.06071921.6	Law Offices of Gordon & Gordon & Moore letterhead
	From: J. F. Gordon
	To: Esselstyn

	1921 Tax Case. Your letter of 5 th inst. Duly received. I received Mr. Utley's
	draft of reply and I have approved same and instructed him to sign and file it. I enclose copy of my letter to Sen. Utley.
233.06071921.7	Law Offices of Gordon & Gordon & Moore letterhead From: J. F. Gordon
	To: Hon. N. W. Utely
	I have received your favor of 6 th inst. Enclosing copy of the reply drawn by
	you in the 1920 tax case. I beg to advise that your draft of reply meets my
	approval in every respect, and I would be glad to have you sign my name to same with yourself as counsel and file same.
233.06091921.8	Hillman Land Company letterhead
	From: E. H. Simmons
	To: Esselstyn
	This is to answer yours of June 5 th in which you say " you thought it would be best to appeal (1920 tax case)" I gave you the wrong impression. What I intended to say was that if we appealed the case I thought we had a very good chance to win it based on Judge Bush's errors. As I understand it, both
	Judge Gordon and you feel if we appeal and lose, it will be very much worse for us than if we had not appealed. If that's the case, then I don't think we want to appeal in view of Judge Gordon's statement that he thinks we have
	very poor chance to win. If it doesn't prejudice our case, be willing to spend a total of \$150 to \$175. Unless I hear from you to the contrary I will assume that you will not make an appeal
233.06119121.9	From: Esselstyn
20010011012110	To: Hon. J. F. Gordon
	Enclosed find copy of letter received from Mr. Simmons regarding appeal of
	the 1920 tax case. Explanation, my idea if we drop case and pay tax on
	valuation of the judgement, that Mr. Molloy will us it in his campaign show
	he has made good on his pledge to the people four years ago. If Company does not fight each case as it comes up, it will make it that much harder for
	future. I cannot believe that the people will return Molloy to office but
	would not want to take any chances on what people will do. If Molloy not
	returned to office, feel sure I can get valuation reduced through agreement
	with the next County Judge and County Attorney and the State Tax
	Commission. Prospective County Judge and Attorney have both expressed
	that they do not want any more tax litigation. Would like your views of the
233.06111921.10	plan so it can be decided without delay From: Esselstyn
200.00111021.10	To: E. H. Simmons
	Acknowledge receipt of your letter of 9 th regarding appeal of the 1920 tax
	case. Enclosed find copy of letter written to Judge Gordon asking his opinion
	as to the plan. Whatever Judge Gordon advises in this matter will be final.
233.06111921.11	Hillman Land Company letterhead
	From: E. H. Simmons
	To: Esselstyn In regard to tax list that our Mr. Carroll wrote you about on 5/28. We are
	ready to go ahead on this if you will send us the information and tell us just
	ready to be uncar on this if you will serve us the information and tell us just

	how you want it fixed. Attaching duplicate of the general form in case you
	have not got it.
233.06121921.12	From: Esselstyn
200100121021112	To: E. H. Simmons
	The work of preparing the list of tax payers for publication is nearly
	completed and I am now working on a statement to show the amount of
	revenue raised by taxation from 1914 to 1921 inclusive. The years 1914-
	1917 inclusive represent the last four years the State had a General Property
	Tax Law and for the years 1918-1921 represent the years under the new Tax
	law or a Classification Tax Law. My idea is that this book will not in any way
	refer to the present County administration but will deal with the tax
	situation as under the old and new law.
233.06121921.13	
233.00121921.13	From: Esselstyn To: E. H. Simmons
	Please send me, if possible, the 1914 receipt for taxes paid by the H. L. & I.
	Co. in Lyon County. I have the receipts for the years beginning with 1915 up
	to the present time. I will return all of these as soon as I am through with them.
222 06141021 14	
233.06141921.14	Hillman Land Company letterhead From: E. H. Simmons
	To: Esselstyn
	Complying with your request of June 12 th , enclosed you will find the 1914 tax
222.061.41021.15	receipts for Lyon county
233.06141921.15	Law Offices of Gordon & Gordon & Moore letterhead
	From: J. F. Gordon
	To: Esselstyn
	I have received yours of the 11 th . Enclosed find copy of my letter of this date
233.06141921.16	to Mr. Simmons [233.06141921.16] Law Offices of Gordon & Gordon & Moore letterhead
233.00141921.10	From: J. F. Gordon
	To: E. H. Simmons
	Relative to appeal of this 1920 tax case. Since my former letter to you on this
	subject, we have appealed the 1921 case to the local courts. In view of this
	fact, I feel we should appeal the 1920 case and make as stong a fight in the
	Court of Appeals. This, in my judgement, is necessary to protect properly our
	appeal of the 1921 case. In view of the local political situation, believe
	Company should adopt policy of fighting to the last ditch until we force some
	manifestation of fairness and justice on part of local taxing authority. Cost of
	appeal, if should be unsuccessful would be about \$400 but if successful, our
222 00151021 17	outlay about \$200. (2 copies – 1 original, 1 copy)
233.06151921.17	Hillman Land Company letterhead
	From: E. H. Simmons
	To: Esselstyn
	Replying to yours of June 12 in regard to list of taxpayers for publication. I
	sent you sample of this book on June 11 th . If you will send me this back I will
	have some covers printed with the different wordings and man's name, so

	you can see what I have in mind, then you can let me know as to just what
222.00151024.40	kind of type, etc.
233.06151921.18	Hillman Land Company letterhead
	From: E. H. Simmons
	To: Esselstyn
	Judge Gordon brought up another point (the appealing of the 1920 tax case in order to properly protect the appeal in the 1921 case). Had this been brought up in his previous letter when he said that he doubted whether we would win the 1920 case, it might have had some bearing on the case, but as he did not and does not tell us now WHY, it is necessary we naturally must
	postpone action until we can get clear on it.
	Also, as a separate point, he wrote me that if we lose the 1920 tax case it would definitely be a point against us. Another point – as I see it – you and Mr. Layton think Mr. Molloy will definitely be beaten at the election. Also, in regard to 1921 tax case, I don't remember talking this over with you. In
	general we want to do whatever is cheapest
233.06151921.19	From: E. H. Simmons
	To: J. F. Gordon
	Acknowledge receipt of and thank you for your letter of June 14 th . I think you have a wrong conclusion – that the cost of conducting suit is incidental –
	such is not the case. Now that the tie making end of our business is gone, we have no source of revenue and dollar is upper most in our minds. Before
	going ahead we would want some information on the possible harmful effect of appealing our 1920 tax case and losing it. Mr Esselstyn
	misunderstood me in indicating I wanted to appeal. My main thought is effect on political situation in the coming election and would it be of sufficient value to file and then might withdraw after election? Also don't
	quite understand necessity of appealing the 1920 case to protect the 1921
	case.
223.061619210.20	From: Esselstyn
	To: E. H. Simmons
	Replying to your letter of 11 th and 15 th regarding publication of the Lyon County. I herewith return to you the sample book. Enclosed is my first attempt at title page and cover and will probably change it after you and Mr. Carroll offer your suggestions.
223.06161921.21	From: Esselstyn
	To: E. H. Simmons
	Received from Judge Gordon the copy of his letter to you regarding the
	appeal of the 1920 Tax case. I think his estimate of \$400 is very reasonable
	but first thing we want is the transcript of the testimony and as it will take
	time for Court Reporter to get it out, I trust you will advise Judge Gordon so
	there can be no doubt about having the testimony ready to subject to Judge
	Bush at the August term of court.
223.06161921.22	From: Esselstyn
	To: E. H. Simmons
	Acknowledge receipt of letter of 14 th with tax receipt for Lyon County for year 1914.

 233.06171921.23 Law Offices of Gordon & Gordon & Moore letterhead From: J. F. Gordon To: Hon. N. W. Utley Yours of 15th with enclosures received. It is clear to my mind that the Quarterly Court has no power or authority or jurisdiction of any kind to hear or determine or grant the relief sought in the Amendment. Please read carefully the case of the Commonwealth vs. Southern Pacific Company, 183 S. W. 925; 169 Ky. 296. In that case a payment of taxes during appeal was sought. (2 pages) 233.06171921.24 From: Esselstyn To: E. H. Simmons Acknowledge receipt of your letter of the 15th. I do not know as I can make this matter any clearer to you than I have. I did not misunderstand you in regard to your willingness to spend 5150 to 5175 for the transcript of evidence. The ordering of the transcript is one step in the appeal and it is the next one. You state you do not understand the necessity of appealing the 1920 case to protect the 1921 case. Each tax case has its relation to all other cases either of the past or future. In 1919 Judge Bush set valuation of property which however unjust in proportion to other properties, but we accepted it and then paid the tax which closed this case. In 1920 case Judge Bush increased value (again) by 30% over his valuation and Board of Supervisor established same value for 1921 and we have until the August Term of Court to appeal the decision of Judge Bush for 1920 case but we only had 30 days from the day the Board of Supervisor adjoined to appeal their actions. It would have been foolish to accept Judge Bush's judgement and appeal the same valuation in the 1921 case, it was necessary to protect the 1921 case by appealing the 1920 case. (2 pages) 233.06171921.25 From: Esselstyn To: Hon. J. F. Gordon This morning I received a letter from Mr. Simmons enclosing copy of his letter to you in regard to the 1920 and 1921 tax cases. Enclosed is copy of my reply to him (233.06171921.24). If there is anything materially wrong in my l		
Quarterly Court has no power or authority or jurisdiction of any kind to hear or determine or grant the relief sought in the Amendment. Please read carefully the case of the Commonwealth vs. Southern Pacific Company, 183 S. W. 925; 169 Ky. 296. In that case a payment of taxes during appeal was sought. (2 pages)233.06171921.24From: Esselstyn To: E. H. Simmons Acknowledge receipt of your letter of the 15 th . I do not know as I can make this matter any clearer to you than I have. I did not misunderstand you in regard to your willingness to spend \$150 to \$175 for the transcript of evidence. The ordering of the transcript is one step in the appeal and it is the next one. You state you do not understand the necessity of appealing the 1920 case to protect the 1921 case. Each tax case has its relation to all other cases either of the past or future. In 1919 Judge Bush set valuation of property which however unjust in proportion to other properties, but we accepted it and then paid the tax which closed this case. In 1920 case Judge Bush increased value (again) by 30% over his valuation and Board of Supervisor established same value for 1921 case, it was necessary to protect the i actions. It would have been foolish to accept Judge Bush's judgement and appeal the same valuation in the 1921 case, it was necessary to protect the 1921 case by appealing the 1920 case. (2 pages)233.06171921.25From: Esselstyn To: Hon. J. F. Gordon This morning 1 received a letter from Mr. Simmons enclosing copy of his letter to you in regard to the 1920.adl 1921 tax cases. Enclosed is copy of my reply to him [233.06171921.24]. If there is anything materially wrong in my letter or if you have other views on subject, please make it all as clear to Mr. Simmons To: Esselstyn233.06181921.26Hillman Land Company letterhead From: E. H. Simmons T	233.06171921.23	From: J. F. Gordon To: Hon. N. W. Utley
S. W. 925; 169 Ky. 296. In that case a payment of taxes during appeal was sought. (2 pages)233.06171921.24From: Esselstyn To: E. H. Simmons Acknowledge receipt of your letter of the 15 th . I do not know as I can make this matter any clearer to you than I have. I did not misunderstand you in regard to your willingness to spend \$150 to \$175 for the transcript of evidence. The ordering of the transcript is one step in the appeal and it is the next one. You state you do not understand the necessity of appealing the 1920 case to protect the 1921 case. Each tax case has its relation to all other cases either of the past or future. In 1919 Judge Bush set valuation of 		Quarterly Court has no power or authority or jurisdiction of any kind to hear or determine or grant the relief sought in the Amendment. Please read
To: E. H. SimmonsAcknowledge receipt of your letter of the 15th. I do not know as I can make this matter any clearer to you than I have. I did not misunderstand you in regard to your willingness to spend \$150 to \$175 for the transcript of evidence. The ordering of the transcript is on step in the appeal and it is the 		S. W. 925; 169 Ky. 296. In that case a payment of taxes during appeal was
Acknowledge receipt of your letter of the 15 th . I do not know as I can make this matter any clearer to you than I have. I did not misunderstand you in regard to your willingness to spend \$150 to \$175 for the transcript of evidence. The ordering of the transcript is one step in the appeal and it is the next one. You state you do not understand the necessity of appealing the 1920 case to protect the 1921 case. Each tax case has its relation to all other cases either of the past or future. In 1919 Judge Bush set valuation of property which however unjust in proportion to other properties, but we accepted it and then paid the tax which closed this case. In 1920 case Judge Bush increased value (again) by 30% over his valuation and Board of Supervisor established same value for 1921 and we have until the August Term of Court to appeal the decision of Judge Bush for 1920 case but we only had 30 days from the day the Board of Supervisor adjoined to appeal their actions. It would have been foolish to accept Judge Bush's judgement and appeal the same valuation in the 1921 case, it was necessary to protect the 1921 case by appealing the 1920 case. (2 pages)233.06171921.25From: Esselstyn To: Hon. J. F. Gordon This morning I received a letter from Mr. Simmons enclosing copy of his letter to you in regard to the 1920 and 1921 tax cases. Enclosed is copy of my reply to him [233.06171921.24]. If there is anything materially wrong in my letter or if you have other views on subject, please make it all as clear to Mr. Simmons as possible.233.06181921.26Hillman Land Company letterhead From: E. H. Simmons To: Esselstyn	233.06171921.24	From: Esselstyn
 this matter any clearer to you than I have. I did not misunderstand you in regard to your willingness to spend \$150 to \$175 for the transcript of evidence. The ordering of the transcript is one step in the appeal and it is the next one. You state you do not understand the necessity of appealing the 1920 case to protect the 1921 case. Each tax case has its relation to all other cases either of the past or future. In 1919 Judge Bush set valuation of property which however unjust in proportion to other properties, but we accepted it and then paid the tax which closed this case. In 1920 case Judge Bush increased value (again) by 30% over his valuation and Board of Supervisor established same value for 1921 and we have until the August Term of Court to appeal the decision of Judge Bush for 1920 case but we only had 30 days from the day the Board of Supervisor adjoined to appeal their actions. It would have been foolish to accept Judge Bush's judgement and appeal the same valuation in the 1921 case, it was necessary to protect the 1921 case by appealing the 1920 case. (2 pages) 233.06171921.25 From: Esselstyn To: Hon. J. F. Gordon This morning I received a letter from Mr. Simmons enclosing copy of his letter to you in regard to the 1920 case. Enclosed is copy of my reply to him [233.06171921.24]. If there is anything materially wrong in my letter or if you have other views on subject, please make it all as clear to Mr. Simmons as possible. 233.06181921.26 Hillman Land Company letterhead From: E. H. Simmons To: Esselstyn 		To: E. H. Simmons
 regard to your willingness to spend \$150 to \$175 for the transcript of evidence. The ordering of the transcript is one step in the appeal and it is the next one. You state you do not understand the necessity of appealing the 1920 case to protect the 1921 case. Each tax case has its relation to all other cases either of the past or future. In 1919 Judge Bush set valuation of property which however unjust in proportion to other properties, but we accepted it and then paid the tax which closed this case. In 1920 case Judge Bush increased value (again) by 30% over his valuation and Board of Supervisor established same value for 1921 and we have until the August Term of Court to appeal the decision of Judge Bush for 1920 case but we only had 30 days from the day the Board of Supervisor adjoined to appeal their actions. It would have been foolish to accept Judge Bush's judgement and appeal the same valuation in the 1921 case. (2 pages) 233.06171921.25 From: Esselstyn To: Hon. J. F. Gordon This morning I received a letter from Mr. Simmons enclosing copy of his letter to you in regard to the 1920 and 1921 tax cases. Enclosed is copy of my reply to him [233.06171921.24]. If there is anything materially wrong in my letter or if you have other views on subject, please make it all as clear to Mr. Simmons a possible. 233.06181921.26 Hillman Land Company letterhead From: E. H. Simmons 		
next one. You state you do not understand the necessity of appealing the 1920 case to protect the 1921 case. Each tax case has its relation to all other cases either of the past or future. In 1919 Judge Bush set valuation of property which however unjust in proportion to other properties, but we accepted it and then paid the tax which closed this case. In 1920 case Judge Bush increased value (again) by 30% over his valuation and Board of Supervisor established same value for 1921 and we have until the August Term of Court to appeal the decision of Judge Bush for 1920 case but we only had 30 days from the day the Board of Supervisor adjoined to appeal their actions. It would have been foolish to accept Judge Bush's judgement and appeal the same valuation in the 1921 case, it was necessary to protect the 1921 case by appealing the 1920 case. (2 pages)233.06171921.25From: Esselstyn To: Hon. J. F. Gordon This morning I received a letter from Mr. Simmons enclosing copy of his letter to you in regard to the 1920 and 1921 tax cases. Enclosed is copy of my reply to him [233.06171921.24]. If there is anything materially wrong in my letter or if you have other views on subject, please make it all as clear to Mr. Simmons as possible.233.06181921.26Hillman Land Company letterhead From: E. H. Simmons To: Esselstyn		
 1920 case to protect the 1921 case. Each tax case has its relation to all other cases either of the past or future. In 1919 Judge Bush set valuation of property which however unjust in proportion to other properties, but we accepted it and then paid the tax which closed this case. In 1920 case Judge Bush increased value (again) by 30% over his valuation and Board of Supervisor established same value for 1921 and we have until the August Term of Court to appeal the decision of Judge Bush for 1920 case but we only had 30 days from the day the Board of Supervisor adjoined to appeal their actions. It would have been foolish to accept Judge Bush's judgement and appeal the same valuation in the 1921 case, it was necessary to protect the 1921 case by appealing the 1920 case. (2 pages) 233.06171921.25 From: Esselstyn To: Hon. J. F. Gordon This morning I received a letter from Mr. Simmons enclosing copy of his letter to you in regard to the 1920 and 1921 tax cases. Enclosed is copy of my reply to him [233.06171921.24]. If there is anything materially wrong in my letter or if you have other views on subject, please make it all as clear to Mr. Simmons as possible. 233.06181921.26 Hillman Land Company letterhead From: E. H. Simmons To: Esselstyn To: Esselstyn 		evidence. The ordering of the transcript is one step in the appeal and it is the
cases either of the past or future. In 1919 Judge Bush set valuation of property which however unjust in proportion to other properties, but we accepted it and then paid the tax which closed this case. In 1920 case Judge Bush increased value (again) by 30% over his valuation and Board of Supervisor established same value for 1921 and we have until the August Term of Court to appeal the decision of Judge Bush for 1920 case but we only had 30 days from the day the Board of Supervisor adjoined to appeal their actions. It would have been foolish to accept Judge Bush's judgement and appeal the same valuation in the 1921 case, it was necessary to protect the 1921 case by appealing the 1920 case. (2 pages)233.06171921.25From: Esselstyn To: Hon. J. F. Gordon This morning I received a letter from Mr. Simmons enclosing copy of his letter to you in regard to the 1920 and 1921 tax cases. Enclosed is copy of my reply to him [233.06171921.24]. If there is anything materially wrong in my letter or if you have other views on subject, please make it all as clear to Mr. Simmons as possible.233.06181921.26Hillman Land Company letterhead From: E. H. Simmons To: Esselstyn		
233.06171921.25property which however unjust in proportion to other properties, but we accepted it and then paid the tax which closed this case. In 1920 case Judge Bush increased value (again) by 30% over his valuation and Board of Supervisor established same value for 1921 and we have until the August Term of Court to appeal the decision of Judge Bush for 1920 case but we only had 30 days from the day the Board of Supervisor adjoined to appeal their actions. It would have been foolish to accept Judge Bush's judgement and appeal the same valuation in the 1921 case, it was necessary to protect the 1921 case by appealing the 1920 case. (2 pages)233.06171921.25From: Esselstyn To: Hon. J. F. Gordon This morning I received a letter from Mr. Simmons enclosing copy of his letter to you in regard to the 1920 and 1921 tax cases. Enclosed is copy of my reply to him [233.06171921.24]. If there is anything materially wrong in my letter or if you have other views on subject, please make it all as clear to Mr. Simmons as possible.233.06181921.26Hillman Land Company letterhead From: E. H. Simmons To: Esselstyn		
 accepted it and then paid the tax which closed this case. In 1920 case Judge Bush increased value (again) by 30% over his valuation and Board of Supervisor established same value for 1921 and we have until the August Term of Court to appeal the decision of Judge Bush for 1920 case but we only had 30 days from the day the Board of Supervisor adjoined to appeal their actions. It would have been foolish to accept Judge Bush's judgement and appeal the same valuation in the 1921 case, it was necessary to protect the 1921 case by appealing the 1920 case. (2 pages) 233.06171921.25 From: Esselstyn To: Hon. J. F. Gordon This morning I received a letter from Mr. Simmons enclosing copy of his letter to you in regard to the 1920 and 1921 tax cases. Enclosed is copy of my reply to him [233.06171921.24]. If there is anything materially wrong in my letter or if you have other views on subject, please make it all as clear to Mr. Simmons as possible. 233.06181921.26 Hillman Land Company letterhead From: E. H. Simmons To: Esselstyn 		
Bush increased value (again) by 30% over his valuation and Board of Supervisor established same value for 1921 and we have until the August Term of Court to appeal the decision of Judge Bush for 1920 case but we only had 30 days from the day the Board of Supervisor adjoined to appeal their actions. It would have been foolish to accept Judge Bush's judgement and appeal the same valuation in the 1921 case, it was necessary to protect the 1921 case by appealing the 1920 case. (2 pages)233.06171921.25From: Esselstyn To: Hon. J. F. Gordon This morning I received a letter from Mr. Simmons enclosing copy of his letter to you in regard to the 1920 and 1921 tax cases. Enclosed is copy of my reply to him [233.06171921.24]. If there is anything materially wrong in my letter or if you have other views on subject, please make it all as clear to Mr. Simmons as possible.233.06181921.26Hillman Land Company letterhead From: E. H. Simmons To: Esselstyn		
Supervisor established same value for 1921 and we have until the August Term of Court to appeal the decision of Judge Bush for 1920 case but we only had 30 days from the day the Board of Supervisor adjoined to appeal their actions. It would have been foolish to accept Judge Bush's judgement 		
 only had 30 days from the day the Board of Supervisor adjoined to appeal their actions. It would have been foolish to accept Judge Bush's judgement and appeal the same valuation in the 1921 case, it was necessary to protect the 1921 case by appealing the 1920 case. (2 pages) 233.06171921.25 From: Esselstyn To: Hon. J. F. Gordon This morning I received a letter from Mr. Simmons enclosing copy of his letter to you in regard to the 1920 and 1921 tax cases. Enclosed is copy of my reply to him [233.06171921.24]. If there is anything materially wrong in my letter or if you have other views on subject, please make it all as clear to Mr. Simmons as possible. 233.06181921.26 Hillman Land Company letterhead From: E. H. Simmons To: Esselstyn To: Esselstyn To: Esselstyn 		Supervisor established same value for 1921 and we have until the August
their actions. It would have been foolish to accept Judge Bush's judgement and appeal the same valuation in the 1921 case, it was necessary to protect the 1921 case by appealing the 1920 case. (2 pages)233.06171921.25From: Esselstyn To: Hon. J. F. Gordon This morning I received a letter from Mr. Simmons enclosing copy of his letter to you in regard to the 1920 and 1921 tax cases. Enclosed is copy of my reply to him [233.06171921.24]. If there is anything materially wrong in my letter or if you have other views on subject, please make it all as clear to Mr. Simmons as possible.233.06181921.26Hillman Land Company letterhead From: E. H. Simmons To: Esselstyn		Term of Court to appeal the decision of Judge Bush for 1920 case but we
and appeal the same valuation in the 1921 case, it was necessary to protect the 1921 case by appealing the 1920 case. (2 pages)233.06171921.25From: Esselstyn To: Hon. J. F. Gordon This morning I received a letter from Mr. Simmons enclosing copy of his letter to you in regard to the 1920 and 1921 tax cases. Enclosed is copy of my reply to him [233.06171921.24]. If there is anything materially wrong in my letter or if you have other views on subject, please make it all as clear to Mr. Simmons as possible.233.06181921.26Hillman Land Company letterhead From: E. H. Simmons To: Esselstyn		
the 1921 case by appealing the 1920 case. (2 pages)233.06171921.25From: Esselstyn To: Hon. J. F. Gordon This morning I received a letter from Mr. Simmons enclosing copy of his letter to you in regard to the 1920 and 1921 tax cases. Enclosed is copy of my reply to him [233.06171921.24]. If there is anything materially wrong in my letter or if you have other views on subject, please make it all as clear to Mr. Simmons as possible.233.06181921.26Hillman Land Company letterhead From: E. H. Simmons To: Esselstyn		
 233.06171921.25 From: Esselstyn To: Hon. J. F. Gordon This morning I received a letter from Mr. Simmons enclosing copy of his letter to you in regard to the 1920 and 1921 tax cases. Enclosed is copy of my reply to him [233.06171921.24]. If there is anything materially wrong in my letter or if you have other views on subject, please make it all as clear to Mr. Simmons as possible. 233.06181921.26 Hillman Land Company letterhead From: E. H. Simmons To: Esselstyn 		
To: Hon. J. F. GordonThis morning I received a letter from Mr. Simmons enclosing copy of his letter to you in regard to the 1920 and 1921 tax cases. Enclosed is copy of my reply to him [233.06171921.24]. If there is anything materially wrong in my letter or if you have other views on subject, please make it all as clear to Mr. Simmons as possible.233.06181921.26Hillman Land Company letterhead From: E. H. Simmons To: Esselstyn	222 06171021 25	
This morning I received a letter from Mr. Simmons enclosing copy of his letter to you in regard to the 1920 and 1921 tax cases. Enclosed is copy of my reply to him [233.06171921.24]. If there is anything materially wrong in my letter or if you have other views on subject, please make it all as clear to Mr. Simmons as possible.233.06181921.26Hillman Land Company letterhead From: E. H. Simmons To: Esselstyn	233.00171321.23	
letter to you in regard to the 1920 and 1921 tax cases. Enclosed is copy of my reply to him [233.06171921.24]. If there is anything materially wrong in my letter or if you have other views on subject, please make it all as clear to Mr. Simmons as possible.233.06181921.26Hillman Land Company letterhead From: E. H. Simmons To: Esselstyn		
my letter or if you have other views on subject, please make it all as clear to Mr. Simmons as possible.233.06181921.26Hillman Land Company letterhead From: E. H. Simmons To: Esselstyn		
Mr. Simmons as possible. 233.06181921.26 Hillman Land Company letterhead From: E. H. Simmons To: Esselstyn		my reply to him [233.06171921.24]. If there is anything materially wrong in
233.06181921.26 Hillman Land Company letterhead From: E. H. Simmons To: Esselstyn		
From: E. H. Simmons To: Esselstyn		
To: Esselstyn	233.06181921.26	
		Yours of June 17 th makes some tax matters plain that I did not understand. I
am ready to go on with the appeal in the 1920 tax case and have written		
Judge Gordon today and am enclosing copy of my letter [233.06181921.27].		
233.06181921.27 From: E. H. Simmons	233.06181921.27	
To: Judge J. F. Gordon		
Since writing you in regard to Lyon County tax matters I have a letter from Mr. Esselstyn clearing up some of the points that I did not understand. Based		
on what you told me in person and what I have in this letter, we have		
decided to appeal the 1920 tax case and are now ready to go ahead. You can		
confer with Mr. Esselstyn on any point as he will have charge of the matter		
		for us.

233.06181921.28	Hillman Land Company letterhead From: E.H. Simmons
	To: Esselstyn
	Acknowledge receipt of your letter of June 16 th . I will get up some printed
	covers and submit them to you. We are ready for the information to go in
	the booklet anytime you have it.
233.06181921.29	
233.00181921.29	Hillman Land Company letterhead From: E. H. Simmons
	To: Esselstyn Acknowledge letter of June 16 th . No doubt by this time you have a copy of
222 06201021 20	Judge Gordon's letter to me and copy of my reply.
233.06201921.30	From: Esselstyn
	To: E. H. Simmons
	Acknowledge receipt of your three letters of 18 th regarding Lyon Tax
	matters. The preparing of the reading matter for this book is the hardest job
	I have undertaken for it is necessary to eliminate all reference to politics. I
	have submitted part of it to Senator Utley and he approves it but I want to
222.06201021.21	submit it all to Judge Gordon before sending it to you.
233.06201921.31	From: Esselstyn
	To: E. H. Simmons
	Enclosed find copy of Mr. Molloy's amended answer in the 1921 tax case,
	also copy of Judge Gordon's letter to Senator Utley regarding the same but
	of which will explain themselves. I had given Molloy credit for being a better
	lawyer than to attempt to put over such a deal as he is attempting to put
	over in the enclosed and I cannot believe that Judge Armstrong will be fool
	enough to support him in it but we will have to wait to see what they are
	going to do next.
233.06201921.32	13x8.5 Lyon Quarterly Court
	Hillman Land Company, Appellant vs. Commonwealth of Kentucky and Lyon
	County, Defendant
	Amended Answer to Statement of Appeal and Amended Cross Appeal from
	the Action of the Board of Supervisors. (3 pages) [2 copies]
233.06201921.33	From: Esselstyn
	To: Hon. J. F. Gordon
	This morning I am in receipt of a letter from Mr. Simmons enclosing copy of
	his letter to you under date of the 18 th in which he states he is ready to go
	ahead with the appeal of the 1920 tax case. I assume you will order the
	transcript of testimony at once and when you get it, I would to go over it
	with you as I have a few points I want to be sure of. I think Molloy, through
	County Clerk, introduced the entire 1920 tax record as evidence and if so, I
	have some "dope" that will be to our advantage.
233.06201921.34	Law Offices of Gordon & Gordon & Moore letterhead
	From: J. F. Gordon
	To: Marshall Jones / City National Bank Building / Paducah, Ky
	RE: Hillman Land & Iron Company vs. Commonwealth of Kentucky and Lyon
	County.

	 H. L. & I. Co desires to appeal the 1920-21 tax case and you are hereby authorized and requested to prepare the record from you stenographic notes taken on the trial. When records are ready please send original and carbon copy to me and also you bill for services in transcribing. PS: the record requested is in the case last tried and reported by you.
233.06201921.35	Law Offices of Gordon & Gordon & Moore letterhead
	From: J. F. Gordon
	To: Esselstyn
	I am sending you copy of my letter to Mr. Jones requesting a transcript of
	the record.
233.06211921.36	From: Esselstyn
	To: E. H. Simmons
	Under separate cover I am sending you the manuscript for the tax book we
	propose to publish. Herewith are suggestions of contents and formats.
233.06211921.37	Law Offices of Gordon & Gordon & Moore letterhead
	From: J. F. Gordon
	To: Esselstyn
	I expect to be in my office every day this week and will be glad to see you at
	your convenience.
233.06221921.38	Hillman Land Company letterhead
	From: E. H. Simmons
	To: Esselstyn
	Acknowledgment of letter of June 21 st indicating you have sent under
	separate cover manuscript for the tax book. This has not been received but
	larger packages usually come slower and will probably be in today or
	tomorrow. As soon as it arrives, I will have Mr. Townes of our Advertising
	Department go into it and finish it up for you.
233.06231921.39	9x8.5 Simmons Hardware Company letterhead
	From: D. L. Townes
	To: Esselstyn
	We have set in three styles of type copy for the Tax Book you supplied a few
	days ago and enclose it herewith. Won't you please tell us which of these
	three styles you prefer? We ask the style that we may know what type or
	general arrangement you like best.
233.06241921.40	From: Esselstyn
	To: E. H. Simmons
	Yesterday in submitting the manuscript of "TAXATION OF FARMLAND" to
	Judge Gordon, he suggested that the enclosed sheet be inserted. I do not
	think you will have any trouble in locating the place it is to be inserted. Judge
	Gordon thinks this book will do a vast amount of good and was pleased to
	know that it is the result of his suggestion.
233.06241921.41	From: Esselstyn
	To: E. H. Simmons
	Acknowledgement of Mr. Townes' letter of the 23 rd enclosing three styles of
	cover for "The Taxation of Farm Land". The one marked "1 st Choice" would
	be preferred if the cover is to be of light colored paper, while "2 nd choice"
	would be preferred if it is to be a dark paper. I prefer the second copy which

	contained the two quotations on the inside of the cover. I prefer the words "assessed valuation" rather than "its value" as there is quite a difference between the two expressions. In regard to mailing of these books, if they can be mailed from St. Louis at the same rate of postage as from Kuttawa, then we would save the expense of shipping them to Kuttawa before mailing, if they are mailed directly from St. Louis on August 6 th .
233.06301921.42	From: Esselstyn To: E. H. Simmons Under separate cover I am sending you the mailing list for the book "The Taxation of Farm Land"
233.06301921.43	 Simmons Hardware Company letterhead From: D. L. Townes To: Esselstyn I have yours of the 24th to Mr. Simmons with reference to the booklet, "Taxation on Farm Land" and have started this through the Printing department. Thought you might want to see proof of cover and first inside page before we go ahead with this, attach proof made up in dummy form showing size of type we plan to use. Further discussion on size of booklet provided. Cost to mail from St. Louis is \$0.04; mail from Kuttawa is \$0.04 therefore we will mail from St. Louis If you can give us number to print before mailing list we can proceed with work otherwise will wait until the list arrives. (2 pages)
233.undated.44	Proof of booklet's cover and first page "The Taxation of Farm Land" in Lyon County, Kentucky. Reverse shows: "This book has been published at considerable expense. We ask each taxpayer to read it carefully. Keep it for future reference"
233.07011921.45	 Simmons Hardware Company letterhead From: D. L. Townes To: Esselstyn One other question on the Tax Booklet. On the page where you show the valuation and owner of property the copy you supply had certain format. Shall we print exactly like copy? If we print exactly like copy I fear – page one—will be misleading and I suggest we put something in connection with it. Stapled to 7x8.5 Simmons Hardware letterhead From: D. L. Townes To: Esselstyn Acknowledge your of June 30th referring to mailing list for the booklet "Tax of Farm Land" that you may know we have this latter and have now received mailing list containing 1770 names. When we have reply to our letter of yesterday in which we asked for some information we will promptly proceed completion and will await instruction on mailing from St. Louis or shipping them to you by express.
233.07021921.46	From: Esselstyn To: D. L. Townes Acknowledge receipt of your letter of the 30 th . Size and style of booklet from enclosed proof is satisfactory and as the actual tax record will be set in

	smaller type and not completely fill the pages, it will give room for memorandums that any one may want to make. I sent mailing list to Mr. Simmons. There were 1770 names and addresses; that will be the number of books which will have taxpayer's names on them. We will want at least 2000 copies and if extra expense not too much I think 2100- would be better. Do not mail these books until Saturday August 6 th but as soon as they are printed, you may send me the extra copies either by parcel post or Express. Please take up matter of having or not having Hillman Land Company stockholders printed in the book. My mailing lists did not include them and I do not know if Mr. Simmons wants to mail them the book.
233.07021921.47	From: Esselstyn To: D. L. Townes Acknowledge receipt of your letter of the 1 st regarding the Tax book.
233.07051921.48	Simmons Hardware Company letterhead From: D. L. Townes To: Esselstyn Thank you for your letter of 2 nd . Our plan is to print about 2100 of these booklets as there will only be a slight additional charge for these extra one hundred. Will not seal those to be mailed from Kuttawa and Eddyville per your instructions. Some names on list did not have street addresses. It may be that by being as little careful we can get better results 9in the booklets reaching these people. (2 pages)
233.07091921.49	From: Esselstyn To: D. L. Townes Reply to your letter of the 5 th regarding mailing of the tax book. I agree that it will only be necessary to seal those which go outside the State and those going to Frankfort and Paducah. Regarding street addresses of some of those people, I do not know their street addresses but have corresponded with them and they receive my mail. Do not forget that these books are not to be mailed until August 6 th but extra copies can be sent as soon as they are ready.
233.07111921.50	Typewritten article titled "The Rotten Spots in the County Administration" To be printed in "Lyon County Herald" on July 22 nd 1921. (16 pages)
233.07221921.51	Lyon County Herald, Volume XV, Number 45. Dated July 22, 1921. Where "The Rotten Spots in the County Administration" appears on pages 2 and 3 (8 columns). Paper is total of 8 pages.
233.07221921.52	21x5 Galley proof of text to appear in booklet "Tax of Farm Lands". This book is dedicated to the taxpayers of Lyon County with the hope that it will be a personal benefit to every taxpayer and will result in co-operation for the advancement and improvement of the County. (3 pages)
233.07211921.53	Simmons Hardware Company letterhead From: D. L. Townes To: Esselstyn Enclosed is galley proof of tax book we are making [233.07221921.52]. We are not delaying your book but there are some names in the Tax Record list

	we rather question. [each name has pencil notation on correction if needed]
	(2 pages)
233.07221921.54	From: Esselstyn
233.07221321.34	To: D. L. Townes
	Acknowledge receipt of your letter of 21 st enclosing proof and note for
	corrections. Here are corrections.
233.07221921.55	7x8 Simmons Hardware Company letterhead
255.07221521.55	From: D. L. Townes
	To: Esselstyn
	Just so we understand each other, where you state you feel sure a name
	should be spelled a certain way, we are going to print it according to your
	ideas. Other changes or corrections indicated in your letter will also be taken
	care of.
233.07251921.56	Typewritten article titled "Were the Statements False" to be printed in the
	"Lyon County Herald" on July 29, 1921. (2 pages)
233.07281921.57	Simmons Hardware Company letterhead
	From: D. L. Townes
	To: Esselstyn
	In setting the names for the Tax Book cover there are quite a few of names
	that do not agree with the names printed on the inside of the book, taken
	from the tax record. Have you anyway of telling definitely the correct way to
	spell these names? (2 pages)
233.07291921.58	From: Esselstyn
	To: D. L. Townes
	Acknowledge receipt of your letter of the 28 th regarding spelling of names in
	the tax record and mailing list. In some cases it is almost impossible to read
	the record and in making the copy unless we were familiar with the name
	we had a stagger at what the name was. Here are what corrections I think
233.07291921.59	we can make.
222.07291921.29	Lyon County Herald, Volume XV, Number 46. Dated July 29, 1921. Where the article "Were the Statements False" appears on front page. Paper is total of
233.undated.60	8 pages. 26x6.5 newsprint list of names; perhaps galley of people to get the "Tax on
255.0100100	Farm Lands" book? (13 pages). Miscellaneous corrections made in pencil.
	rann canas book. (15 pages). Miscellaneous corrections made in pencil.